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ABSTRACT

Although narrative-based research has been central to studies of illness experience, the inarticulate, sensory experiences of illness often remain obscured by exclusively verbal or textual inquiry. To foreground the body in our investigation of subjective and intersubjective aspects of eating disorders, we—a medical anthropologist and a contemporary dance choreographer—designed a collaborative project, in which we studied the experiences of women who had eating disorders, through eight weeks of integrating dance practice-based, discussion-based and interview-based research. Grounded in the participants’ own reflections on choreographing, dancing and watching others perform solos about their eating disordered experiences, our analysis examines the types of knowledge the participants used in choreographing their dance works, and the knowledge that they felt the dance enabled them to convey. We find that the participants consistently spoke of feeling as guiding their choreographic processes; they also said the experiences they conveyed through their dance works were centred in feelings, rather than in practices or events. Through dance, the participants said they could communicate experiences that would have remained unspoken otherwise. Yet, notably, dance practice also enabled participants to begin defining and describing their experiences verbally. We suggest, therefore, that through engaging participants in contemporary dance practice, we can begin to identify and address embodied experiences of illness and recovery that may be silenced in speech or writing alone.

INTRODUCTION

In the medical humanities, narrative has been used widely to explore illness experiences. Through eliciting, recording and interpreting participants’ accounts, researchers have examined how people frame causation and course, what themes they deploy in their sense-making and communicative processes, how they perform identity and which lexicons or plotlines loom large.1–4 But, as Angela Woods5 and others have suggested,6–8 while undeniably valuable, illness narratives are limited by their forms and underlying assumptions. Though often cast as essential to the experience of major illness,9 10 narrative, argues Woods, is far from universal or ‘natural’, and the templates it offers leave many experiences unexpressed.9

In this project, we aimed to explore the experiential aspects of eating disorders. Our research aim resonated with other work in medical anthropology—work which, in large part, relies on narrative. For logistical and ethical reasons, anthropologists have limited capacity to act as participant observers in the everyday lives of people with eating disorders outside clinical settings. As clinically centred participant observation, by necessity, contextualises embodied experience within institutional strictures and regulations,11–13 narrative continues to provide a primary means of addressing the lived experience of eating disorders beyond the clinical realm.14–18 Yet our project sought to find a different way of addressing experience; following Csordas’ emphasis on ‘the body as the existential ground of culture’,19 we wanted to delve into, and deepen, the understanding of eating-disordered experiences by illuminating the sensory elements they hold. Specifically, we aimed to design a study that would foreground the body, and in which sensory experience and the memories thereof would be expressed first through the body, rather than forced into immediate verbal translation in interview.20

We thus turned to contemporary dance as a means of constructing and communicating experiences of eating disorders and recovery. Dance invokes elements of embodied experience central to anthropological inquiry.21 With dance being, quite literally, a practice, it involves the shaping and expressing of each dancer’s habitus, a habitus moulded through time and technique, somatic possibilities and experiential actualities.22 23 Dance, moreover, constitutes lived experience in the here and now. As the dancer immerses herself in remembered spaces and times, she embodies these experiences in the moment.24 Dance, then, is marked by presence; it unveils embodiment through dialectics of being and creating, imagining and acting, collapsing binary divisions of mind and body, thought and feeling.25 And contemporary dance, specifically, enables the exploration of identity, experience, meaning, context, history, the future and the body, as they all intersect in present-tense movement.26

In this paper, we aim to identify what kinds of knowledge about the embodiment of eating disorders we can gain through dance, drawing on dance practice-based research we conducted with women who had experienced eating disorders. Rather than relying on our observations and interpretations of the participants’ dancing, we follow Drid Williams’ argument that the meaning of dance resides in the dancemaker’s own experience,27 and thus emphasise the participants’ own reflections on the experience of choreographing and dancing a solo based on their eating disorder experiences, as well as their accounts of observing other participants perform their solos. Specifically, we ask: What knowledge did the participants employ in choreographing their solos? What knowledge did they feel the dance enabled them to convey?
METHODS

Participants and recruitment

Seven women took part in the study. The participants were aged 19–31 years and were involved in full-time education or employment at the time of the study. While all participants could be described as white, they were of different nationalities (British, German, French and United States). The participants’ eating-disorder experiences were varied; most described experiences that could be categorised as anorexia nervosa (or its subclinical variants), but some described experiences of bulimia nervosa or binge eating disorder. Three of the participants received treatment for their eating disorders, while the other four did not. The participants’ duration of eating disorder ranged from approximately one year to over 15 years. All participants identified as recovering from their eating disorders, to varying degrees, with lingering emotional, body image and eating issues. The group had a wide range of dance experience, with four participants who had a strong amateur dance background and three who had no dance training at all.

To recruit participants, we advertised the project through fliers posted locally and through an announcement sent to a local dance society’s e-newsletter. KE sent recruitment information via email to one participant with whom she was acquainted, and who had expressed initial interest in the study. The recruitment announcements invited those interested in more information to contact KE via email. Each person who wrote to KE received an information sheet about the project. Potential participants who, after reading the information sheet, expressed interest in participating and could commit to attending the full workshop were invited to a 30 min interview with both researchers. During the recruitment interviews, we introduced ourselves and the project, asked the participants about the general details of their eating disorders and what motivated them to participate in the project, and ascertained whether they had health issues that might prevent them from participating safely or requiring of special attention. In all, we recruited eight participants; one participant left several days before the first session, due to a health issue.

Ethics

The study was reviewed and approved by the University of Oxford’s Central University Research Ethics Committee. All participants were provided with detailed information sheets, and all participants signed consent forms before the workshop sessions began. Pseudonyms are used throughout this article; all identifying details have been concealed or altered to ensure confidentiality.

The workshop sessions and data collection

For eight consecutive weeks between April and June 2013, we gathered with the seven participants in a non-mirrored black-box studio, for two weekly hours of skill building and creative dance work. The weekly sessions were followed by next-day group discussion sessions, facilitated by both KE and RK, in which the participants reflected on their experiences of choreographing, dancing, performing and observing others’ performances in the studio. The dance sessions were designed to progress from week to week and to develop movement and dance, fitness and coordination, and creative and choreographic skills. Although dance and movement therapy may be used in the treatment of eating disorders, this study’s sessions were aimed at eliciting and facilitating the creative exploration of experience, rather than achieving a therapeutic outcome. Underlying the workshop structure was the idea that the participants were to become choreographers and performers of their own work.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Working with/from feeling

In their solo brief, the participants were asked to create a work that expressed their experience of eating disorder. We asked them to draw on the skills they developed in the first five sessions, skills that enabled them to explore movement through body parts, space, relationships and the emotions.

Yet, while the participants were not asked directly to choreograph their solos with or from feelings, the centrality of feeling occurred, time and again, in their interviews. As Lauren described it, in choreographing her dance, she allowed herself to feel, or ‘connect with’, painful memories which, she told KE, she had numbed, over the years, through anorexia.

Having to express something in dance made me—I was forced to think about stuff I don’t normally think about… I mean, I’ve, I’ve done quite a lot of therapy, so I’ve done quite a lot of the
Lauren’s dance itself, she said, emanated from a strong feeling she had one morning—a feeling she knew was related to the period of her life in her solo dance. The progression of these periods was linear, but she did not conceptualise them as a narrative. For Jane, the distinction between the two was crucial. Jane said she had found it difficult, even impossible, to work from feeling—she described the experience of connecting with emotions as ‘paralysing’. Yet feeling was at the centre of her work. As Jane explained it, while she created her solo through reminiscences of feeling, which she kept safely at bay during the creative process, she wanted her solo to explore the very core of the feelings that underlay her eating disorder.

Gia said she chose to recollect, embody and explore five periods of her life in her solo dance. The progression of these periods was linear, but she did not conceptualise them as a narrative of ‘what happened’. Instead, Gia’s focus was on her own changing sensations and perceptions, from one period to the next, and how they came (back) into being, in her body, through the dance.

Feeling was what provided a starting point and choreographic focus for the creation of the solo; it was also the focus of the choreographic exploration itself. For Jane, the distinction between the two was crucial. Jane said she had found it difficult, even impossible, to work from feeling—she described the experience of connecting with emotions as ‘paralysing’. Yet feeling was at the centre of her work. As Jane explained it, while she created her solo through reminiscences of feeling, which she kept safely at bay during the creative process, she wanted her solo to explore the very core of the feelings that underlay her eating disorder.

That’s really something that I wanted to address in my dance, that kind of, sense of powerlessness, inactivity masked by routine, masked by routine movements like walking into the supermarket... I want to capture that sense of emptiness, of a void within you that you need to fill, of a kind of gloominess, like an ache, but that also is so, kind of deep that it makes you feel like you’re not there, like you don’t exist, like you’re not a being, you lose your sense of agency into it... This kind of, a void of darkness that you create within yourself, umm, and the loneliness, and also the way in which you push other people away... (Jane)

Jane’s solo had little plot; while she told KE that the progression of movement was meant to convey a transition in her own life—moving past the eating disorder—the solo’s focus was on conveying embodied experience, unbound by time and narrative. Like Lauren, Gia and the other participants, Jane was concerned with creating a feeling-centred body of knowledge on her eating-disordered experience—one which provided a tangible alternative to plot-driven narrative, and allowed for an embodied, rather than discursive, sense-making.

Transcending templates

When I started to think about, okay, how I’m going to do this, and this thing that I do have all these blanks, but I also have these very specific experiences and that I started noting them down and how I could express them, and that made me then start feeling like I wanted to be able to put all of them in and be able to tell about, I mean tell in the dance, about all these specific memories and experiences. (Eve)

Eve’s ‘blanks’—extensive periods of her eating disorder which she could not remember—were a central part of her experience. Eve mentioned these ‘blanks’ at various stages of the project, as early as the recruitment interview phase; she was bothered by her inability to remember the entire arc of her disorder, by the ‘leaps’ her memory made from one episode to another—leaps that made her feel as if she could not narrate what had happened to her. The dance, however, enabled her to ‘tell’ her experience beyond the template offered by narrative structure: to make a whole work—with no apology for blank spaces in her story. Eve and RK discussed how the blanks themselves could form material for her solo. RK told Eve how she had used the concept of purgatory, limbo or nothingness, to create a section in one of her dance works, and they discussed how the physicality of ‘stretched time’ could feel or look. Following that discussion, RK noted in her journal that Eve began to create her solo in the studio with more energy. On watching Eve’s work-in-progress sharing of her solo, RK wrote:

Eve’s performance was electric and ghostly—the absences like tension, palpable in her performance.

Unlike Eve, Joyce had remembered nearly the entirety of her eating disorder and had structured her dance in linear format. Joyce, however, said that the experience-focused quality of the dance allowed her to overcome a template that used to guide her narrative—the definition of what an eating disorder is.

I think in the few times where I’ve described it explicitly... what I end up falling into is like, trying to describe my own, my very personal, like experience or personal reasons... in these sort of terms that would fall under the commonly like expected idea [of] eating disorders... Whereas with dance, it’s like you, it’s very difficult to fall into that, feeling you need to describe it in a certain way, or describe it like how other people describe it, because it’s like—I have no way of mimicking the way that you move... It becomes very original. (Joyce)

Like three other participants, Joyce never received professional treatment for her eating disorder. She did not have an official diagnosis, and described wondering, in the past, whether she indeed had an eating disorder, as it did not match her expectations of what anorexia or bulimia might or should be. For Joyce, the process of creating and performing her own dance, as well as watching the other participants’ solos, was validating, as she explained: ‘there aren’t, like, just two categories of eating disorder’. Through dance, Joyce said, she could both express and observe the nuances of individual experience; there was no need to align her own eating disorder with textbook expectations so as to ‘qualify’ for the ‘title’.

For Lauren, who had extensive contact with the medical system, the templates of what constituted eating disorders extended beyond the issue of validity. While she was clinically recognised as anorexic, Lauren felt that the supposedly objective measures of her eating disorder—her body weight, her body mass index (BMI), her food intake—were privileged beyond her own experience of the disorder, to the extent that they stood for her eating disorder itself.

In the medical or the psychological world it’s all about what your weight was or what your BMI was and—how much you are or aren’t eating, or what you’re doing with food. But that’s not really what having an eating disorder is about—it’s about those
really strong kind of struggles and self loathing and, umm, just struggling to get through that people were expressing through the dance. (Lauren).

Through dance, Lauren said she was able to address those aspects of her experience which were often obscured, even neglected, by the templates provided in the medical system. The dance, she said, allowed her to capture the ambiguities of her eating disorder—the pain and the longing, the suffering and the desire, and what it means to live through and, with it, in ways she could not express within the ready-made templates of food, calories and weight.

**Narrative logic versus ‘bodily logic’**

All participants, including those who had never danced before, spoke of a ‘logic’ of movement that allowed them to convey their experience. In this excerpt, from a discussion we held with the group following the first solo-work session, Alicia and Gia brought up the word ‘logic’ to contrast telling and dancing their eating disorders:

Alicia: I think, because it’s not a very logical thing, it’s a good thing to express in dance. Because if you say, if you kind of put a factual spin on it, it doesn’t make much sense. (…)

Gia: and you’ve got that horrendous thing where, as you say, as soon as you’re telling the story you necessitate, it necessitates a logic of storytelling, so it’s like, well why did you? Like, I don’t know.

For Alicia, dance offered a medium through which to express the illogic of eating disorders. Although the solo did require some structure and choreographic sense-making, the format, as she explained it, was open-ended enough to allow for the expression of experience that might otherwise need to be clarified and made cogent in speech. And, as Gia explained, the ‘logic of storytelling’ in speech also required a sense-making that perhaps she was not ready to do; dance allowed her to articulate eating-disordered experiences in feeling and motion, yet keep them raw and unencumbered by explanations.

In finding the internal logic of their solos, the participants also had to come to terms with the logic of their own bodies. The links and disconnects between imagined and actualised movement came into the foreground during the solo-work sessions. For Gia, this was the emergence of a ‘bodily logic’:

You have an idea of what you want to do, and you do it, and your body is just kind of doing something else… So in that sense, the logic—the intellectual, or the, the conscious logic, kind of gets a bit split, and then you’ve got this very bodily logic that kicks in. (Gia)

Gia later described feeling positively surprised by the movement she found in her body—by the embodied actuality of dancing the solo she had planned.

While it might have presented a constraint on the range and style of motion each participant could embody, working with the body’s own logic was part of the creative engagement.

I kind of feel what I want to do, but I can’t do that, so I’m up against that barrier some of the time, so trying to find ways around that, try and stay true to that feeling, to what I want to express… (Lauren)

Lauren identified ‘staying true to that feeling’ as the core of her solo project, and later told KE she thought of creative uses of the body and space, based on the skills sessions, to capture the feeling she wanted to evoke with motion. The logic she found in her body sharpened both concept and practice.

**Conveying the unspeakable**

One of the strengths of creating dance, as expressed by the participants, was in enabling the expression of those aspects of the eating-disordered experience which would have otherwise remained unarticulated. The unspeakable moments of eating disorder were related not to events, but to sensations—sensations which were part of eating-disordered embodiment, and which the participants felt were inaccessible to non-eating-disordered others, and inexpressible through language. Reflecting on the group discussion concerning narrative versus dance, Catherine said:

There are some things which, like, I just have never been able to find words for, like I can’t ever explain what, like, a panic attack feels like in words, and I find that quite difficult, and especially because the last two years I found myself sitting in a lot of doctors’ offices… I’ve always found it quite difficult to express how it actually felt or what my actual experience—like, I can tell people, oh, it started here, umm, and I went to treatment here, and my weight was this, and stuff like that, but that’s all kind of like stuff that has words attached to it already and that’s just fact, it doesn’t actually express what it felt like or what my experience of it was like or how I saw it or anything like that. (Catherine)

Identifying the easily narrated aspects of her eating disorder as ‘stuff that has words attached to it already’, Catherine alluded to the sense of unoriginality and collectivity that these signposts of illness conveyed. The realities that inhered in her body—in experiences such as having a panic attack—seemed unbridgeable by language; there were no experience-near words that could allow her a transition from feeling to narrative, to reach out and express her sensory world to a listener.

Later, Catherine added that she knew some people were more comfortable with speech, while others were with movement, and that she belonged to the latter group. But feeling comfortable with speaking did not make all aspects of experience expressive. Gia, who said she told everyone about her eating disorder—including family, friends, colleagues and acquaintances—explained to the group that while she had told her story many times, she found the dance had captured crucial elements of her experience which she could not convey through speech:

I find it also more powerful than talking—I don’t know if any of you have that experience. Because I’ve talked about my eating disorder, and heard other people speaking about their eating disorders, and there’s a bit of a casualness about it. Whereas in dance you kind of have this full force of the reality of what would have been, could be, or is. I find that very, very disrupting to my sense of calm, and at the same time, I guess umm, one of the rare moments where I can actually be genuine or authentic. (Gia)

The ‘reality’ of which Gia spoke contrasted with what she later termed the ‘banality’ of her eating disorder narrative. Gia said she was emotionally controlled whenever she spoke about her eating disorder: ‘I tell it like a story’. This control allowed Gia to be open about her eating disorder, while protecting herself from vulnerability. And yet, these instances of speaking about her disorder lacked the ‘authenticity’ which she located in feeling. Through dancing, however, Gia said she could both feel and express the lived experience of her eating disorder, which, for her, constituted her ‘genuine’ reality and ‘genuine’ self, otherwise obscured by words.

While the participants sought authenticity, they were also mindful of an audience; to express the unspeakable, individual
experience had to be translated into evocative, if still abstract, movement. When KE spoke with Eve about her process of choreographing the solo, Eve said,

I noticed that in those early stages something that kept popping up in my head was that I thought, oh, but that doesn’t express the pain strongly enough...[it] seems to me to again be this kind of thing of wanting people to—see and notice and understand...(Eve)

For Eve, her duty as both dancer and sufferer was not only to capture authentic feeling, but to communicate it. This communication entailed the choreographing of movement to elicit visceral empathy—an embodied understanding of the pain which, throughout the years, she had never been able to speak of, and for which she had never received help or recognition. And as she choreographed the dance, she sought to convey the fact of pain and its intensity, expressing that which is inherently unspoken.

**Visceral recognition, embodied connection**

The participants held multiple roles in this project—choreographer, dancer, performer and observer. When asked about their experiences of watching the other group members perform their solos, all participants spoke of feeling a strong sense of connection. The experience went beyond what each of the dancers ‘told’; as Alicia explained, identification inhered in the movements themselves.

They’re very different, but there’s bits I can relate to in all of them and sort of, not feel like, oh, I know what they’re representing, but just—I know that feeling. Like, there’s a lot of people touching their skin in lots of them, which is something I do a lot, even now, like, it’s just that constant checking your body and checking it’s alright. (Alicia)

Like the other participants, Alicia did not try to guess at the individual stories that underlay each of the solos. Indeed, the participants were not exposed to one another’s narratives—we did not discuss individual experiences of eating disorder with the group, only in the one-on-one interviews KE held with each of the participants. The sense of connection was one that transcended similarities in narrative; it inhereed in a tacit recognition of shared sensory experience, a recognition related, in motion, from dancer to observer. These moments of recognition were described by another participant, Eve, as knowing she was ‘in the right place’ and feeling she was not alone.

While many participants cited similarities in movement motifs, the sense of embodied connection did not depend on direct likeness:

Sometimes I saw something of like, myself, something I related to in someone else’s dance, and I was like, I never would have even expressed it that way or I didn’t know how to express that. And then I see you do that, and I’m like, yeah, that’s it, that’s right. (Catherine)

The ineffable sense of ‘that’s right’ which Catherine described, was one invoked by movement that could have been one’s own, and yet (crucially) was not. The participants spoke of the empathy they felt for one another as they performed, an empathy that went beyond compassion to encompass a sense of the other’s experience. ‘Seeing oneself in others’ meant more than seeing a reflection of oneself; it meant recognising a range of movements, and movement possibilities, through one’s own embodied being.

**CONCLUSION**

The participants described dance as a medium through which they could communicate otherwise unspoken experience to others, as well as viscerally recognise and empathise with another’s experience. Feeling was at the heart of both the creative process, as the participants actively invoked and engaged with embodied memories, and the dance performances themselves, as each participant conveyed her eating-disordered experience through viscerally evocative movements, and as those watching said they could recognise a dancer’s experience through their own bodies.

The participants used their solo choreographies to express their eating-disordered experiences as feeling-centred. It was feeling which they identified as the crux of experience—that which constituted their eating disordered realities and authentic selves. Notably, while the participants could state feeling in words—indeed, they spoke of feelings in the interviews and group discussions—they also conveyed that the intensity, sensory qualities, ambiguities and complexities of feeling eluded words. Through the dance, then, the participants engaged with the unspoken, developing a feeling-centred body of knowledge.

We acknowledge that many of the critiques levelled against narrative can be applied to dance. While dance is based in embodied being and expression, it is neither a pan-human expressive form, nor is it ‘natural’: the body is already enculturated. Dance is also subject to structure; while danced expression may rely on tacit sense-making, rather than on pre-established vocabularies, it is made intelligible through communicative modes embedded in the cultures from and within which it is created and performed. Moreover, choreography entails the synthesising and stylising of experience. The participants did not convey experience in its inchoate immediacy; rather, in creating a dance, they reflected, selected and strove to make sense to themselves and to others.

We would like to suggest, however, that dance can change the emphasis of storytelling in healthcare contexts. The participants’ solos were not accounts of ‘what happened’, but rather danced renderings of ‘how it felt’. Their creating of, and reflections on, feeling-centred bodies of knowledge opened up possibilities for further inquiry into their experiences of eating disorders. As this paper showed, dance was not only a means of conveying the unspoken, but was also a platform that allowed the participants to begin defining and discussing elements of experience they found difficult to capture in speech alone. The process of solo development, in particular, encouraged reflective verbal expression of the sensory dimensions of eating disorder experiences. Through posing questions about illness experience whose answers were both danced and spoken, we were able to acknowledge multiple beginnings and endings, the variety of possible tellings in form and time (episodic, expressive, linear, circular), and those feelings and embodied memories which might have otherwise remained unspoken. Thus, we suggest that, in bringing the sensate body to the foreground of analysis, dance allows us to address lived experiences of illness and recovery.
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Appendix 1

Summary of Workshop Session Themes and Activities

Each session followed a similar structure. We started with an introduction and setting up of the studio or performance world, separating it from outside experiences and safeguarding the participants. We then continued with a full warm up and ice breaker exercises, which were adapted each time, depending on the group’s dynamics. And then the session proper would begin. Injury prevention was paramount, with the participants building skills slowly and at their own pace. Throughout, we built the idea of the body as a reliable source of information which can be listened to and communicated to others. Each of the sessions ended with cool down and relaxation exercises.

1. Week 1 was dedicated to trust and mirroring. Following initial trust-building and ice-breaker exercises, the participants were divided into pairs and asked to mirror each other’s danced movement, starting with the palms of their hands, and moving onward to encompass the entire body, taking implicit turns at who was leading the mirroring. The pairs then performed their short duets to the group, in two rounds, with two pairs performing and two pairs watching in each round.

2. In Week 2 we explored the listening body. The session focused on improvisation using body parts, allowing each part to lead the body in movement. The participants divided into pairs, and took turns in guiding their partners through gentle touch. The touch, notably, was not meant to push or pull toward certain movement, but only to suggest it, and the participants could choose how to respond to touch. The participants were then asked to choose four body parts and create their first short solo study based on these. RK asked if they wanted to perform the solos to a small subsection of the group or to the whole group, and the participants responded immediately that they wanted to perform their solos to the group as a whole.

3. Week 3 concerned the body in space. We explored the studio space, the space the body creates and the space between other bodies, as well as journeys across the space (which the participants found the most difficult). The session started with an exploration of levels – low, medium, and high – and then added movement qualities to these explorations, such as angular, circular, heavy and light. The participants were asked to create a travelling solo study, for which they wrote a floor plan. The solos highlighted a combination of spatial awareness, floor planning, travelling, and moving from body parts. The session ended with a performance to the group.

4. Other bodies – trust, collaboration and relationships was our theme in Week 4. In this session, we started very energetically, with a series of quick physical games and skin warm up. The group was divided into pairs, and they were assigned a body part partnership game, which caused quite a lot of laughing and fun in the room. RK then introduced Laban word
stimulus, focusing on the concepts of push and pull. The pairs then created duets that brought together the body parts and Laban word stimulus exercises. They performed these to the group.

5. **Week 5 – the body and the emotions** – was the project’s key session. The session began following a lengthy warm up, involving a guided visualization. The core of the session was improvisation with the emotions. We had prepared a list of emotion words (e.g. curious, sad, calm, happy) that built on each other in degrees of intensity. As RK invoked each word, each of the people in the studio (including the facilitators) was to dance in her or his own world, maintaining an inward perspective without observing others. The session ended with relaxed and positive emotions, and we took time for a long cool down and group work. The brief about the solo assignment was given out in the next day’s discussion.

6. **Week 6 focused on developing one’s own work and structure.** The group explored the basics of dance solo composition, using music models of AB, ABA, etc. We worked on understanding beginning, middle, and end, and how to make the dance impact an audience using structure. We also explored use of the gaze, and the connection between gazing at the audience and communicating intention and attention to the audience. RK conducted one-on-one consultations with each participant on solo ideas, intentions and initial starting places. Some participants chose to share their initial solo sketches with the group at the end of the session.

7. **Week 7 was dedicated to continuing to develop the solos and building performance skills.** We explored performance modes, awareness, and practice (including issues relating to rehearsals, costumes, visualization to help with performance preparation, and preparing for the sessions to end). RK again conducted one-to-one consultations with each participant to discuss the development of the solo works. She noted that the participants showed confidence both in their dancing and in how they talked about their dancework.

8. In **Week 8**, the participants **performed their solos.** The session included two invited guests, with the participants’ consent; one of these guests is a filmmaker who documented the performance. The session started with a group warm up, that included everyone except the two visitors. Following a 30-minute preparation and rehearsal time, the participants performed their solos. We ended the session with a light group cool down, and followed with an informal gathering and discussion – which occurred organically – staying with the participants until they chose to leave the studio/discussion space.