Lessons from the past: preventive medicine in early modern England

L Hill Curth

The history of English medicine used to consist of chronicles of medical progress and great ideas culminating in twentieth century biomedicine. Unlike earlier generations whose medical beliefs and practices were seen to be both futile and dangerous, modern medicine was thought to be able to eradicate illness with a “magic bullet”. During the later part of the 20th century, however, the general public began to have doubts about the efficacy and safety of modern medicine. In turn, both the institution of medicine and the biomedical model began to be challenged by the media. These events led to a spreading desire for people to take a greater responsibility for their own health. One of the areas of greatest interest and growth is that of preventive medicine which focuses on a good diet and exercise. Far from being a new concept, however, the idea of a daily health “regimen” goes back to the ancient Greece and was further developed during the Middle Ages, and by the early modern period (1500–1800).

The art of Physicke [medicine] hath two principall parts; the one declaring the order how health may be preserved: the other setting forth the means how sickness may be remedied. Of these two parts (in mine opinion) that is more excellent, which preserveth health and preventeth sickness . . . even as it is better to stand fast stil, than to fal and rise again, better to keepe a Castle or City, than after we have suffered the enemy to enter, to rescue it.1

According to one 17th century writer advised his readers:

If we were careful to keep out diseases, we should not be troubled to drive them out: Reason tells us ‘tis better to keep out an enemy, then to let him in, and afterwards to beat him out...  

Far from being a new issue, the practice of preventive medicine is one that dates back to the earliest days of medical practice. Although this quotation was first published in 1612, its message is still as clear and true almost 400 hundred years later. It is still preferable to try to maintain a health state than it is to restore health to a diseased body.

Over the course of four centuries there have been massive advances in humankind’s understanding of disease and sickness. During the early 20th century in particular, biomedical research began to take significant strides. The ability of biomedicine to prevent and treat a number of acute, infectious diseases during this period resulted in a growing widespread public confidence in the medical professions.2 Patients fully expected their practitioners to diagnose “the cause” of their illness, and to prescribe a “magic bullet” of drugs that would drive it from their bodies.3 For many people, doubts about the efficacy of modern medicine began to grow in the later decades of the 20th century. Biomedical limitations became increasingly obvious, both in fighting acute diseases such as AIDS or BSE and in alleviating chronic illnesses such as asthma or allergies. In turn, both the institution of medicine and the biomedical model began to be actively and publicly challenged by the popular and academic press.4 Many members of the public began to feel the need to take greater responsibility for their own health, resulting in what Roy Porter has called a “body culture”.5 Far from being a new trend, however, I would argue that this simply continues an established recognition that it is better to try to follow a healthy lifestyle resulting in a body better able to fight off disease. In early modern England this was known as following a good “health regimen”. As this article will show, there are many important parallels between “healthy lifestyles” and “health regimens”.

The study of medical history has already disclosed numerous precedents for contemporary acts and behaviours.6 It follows that an understanding of early modern health regimens designed to “keep out” disease may also provide insights into our own efforts to have a healthy lifestyle. As one 17th century writer advised his readers:

1. Air
2. Motion and rest

The concept of preventing disease through a good health regimen was based on ideas developed in Greece by Hippocratic writers during the fourth century BC.7 These ancient theories and practices of medicine were further developed by Islamic writers, including the enhancement of the Galenic concept of what Arabic writers called the “non-naturals”.8 The non-naturals were based on environmental factors involved in the preservation of health. Most of them could be manipulated in ways that would help to keep illness at bay. They consisted of:

1. Air
2. Motion and rest
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3. Sleep and waking
4. Things taken in (food and drink)
5. Things excreted
6. Passions and emotions.

This paper will examine the way in which the non-naturals were employed in the creation of “health regimens” which aimed to produce healthy, disease free bodies. Many of these points will be surprisingly familiar to modern readers, such as the key theme that everything should be taken in moderation. I am not suggesting that contemporary medical practitioners should, or even could, follow all of these early guidelines. Being aware, however, of the importance of these principles, as well as many other of the features of early modern medicine, can help us to understand more about current medical practices.

THE SIX NON-NATURALS

Air

Although concern about the quality of the air we breathe might seem to be a modern issue, it has a considerable history. Hippocratic writers believed that plagues or epidemics were the result of breathing in noxious air. What is now referred to as “air pollution” is said to emanate from a number of sources, including industry, agriculture, services, households, solid waste management, and road, air, and sea transport. With the exception of air transport, all of these factors also featured in the creation of miasma. Toxic air could be created through the work of butchers, tanners, or farm workers. The decomposition of human and animal waste, whether excrement or the rotting of bodies on the battlefield, was thought to create very dangerous fumes. Other noxious vapours were said to arise from swamps and muddy areas or stagnant water.

During the early Modern period it was commonly believed that such “ill ayre” carried a range of diseases from “rheumes” to “gowitz”. Vitrious and hurtfull” air even fostered the transmission of smallpox and measles. As the writer of a popular 16th century vernacular book warned his readers:

There is nothing, except poysen that doth putryfie, or doeth corrupt the bledd of man; and also doth mortifie the spirites of man, as doeth a corrupt and a contagious ayre.

Clearly, in order to prevent disease, it was important to “Kepe your selfe in a pure Ayre”. Of course, air quality varied depending upon location and outside conditions. For example, rural areas were thought to enjoy better air than the north and south sides. Although certain weather conditions which were thought to cause ill health affected town and country equally. On the simplest level this included foggy and misty days. Far more dangerous was the contamination of the air from “Meteors, Thunder and Lightning, intemperate heat and cold”. Precipitation in the form of rain showers was not considered hazardous in itself, although the released vapours might seem to be a modern issue, it has a considerable history. Air was regularly referred to in medical works of the Renaissance. Nicholas Culpeper believed that sleep “comforts nature much, refresheth the memory, cheers the spirits, quickens the senses”. Richard Allestree suggested that since sleep was ordained by God, it “comes as a medicine to that weariness, as a repairer of that decay, so that we may be enabled to such labours as the duties of Religion or works of our Calling require of us”.

The hours spent sleeping could also provide clues as to the person’s state of health. Those who were ill tended to need many additional hours of rest. Disturbing dreams could be a symptom of illness and disease, while pleasant ones signified a state of good health. As with the other non-naturals, moderation was the key word for sleeping habits. “Long and superfluous Sleep” could “chill the Body, weaken the Natural Heat and breed Flegmatic
Humours". It was, however, recognised that the constitutions of some people made them require more sleep. On the other hand, there were two circumstances in which it was important to stay awake. It was never considered safe to sleep directly after having blood drawn. Secondly, it was considered "ill for the braine" to have much sleep after eating meat because it slowed down the task of digestion.

In general, however, moderation in sleeping meant less rather than more. Even during long winter days, napping was discouraged, since “Long sleep at after-noons by stirring fumes, Breeds sloth and aques, aking-heads and rhumes”. Spring mornings were not the time to make up for lost sleep either, as “Now 'tis excellently wholsom to rise early”. Since some people were likely to suffer as a result of short nights, it was not “discommended” to have a nap after dinner.

As sleep was an important part of maintaining health, advice for insomniacs was also offered. Pond suggested a mixture of nettleseed and honey. This would not only induce sleep, but also would cure colocik, soften phlegm, and heal gout. A lighter hearted recommendation was:

But if thou think'st thou can'st not sleep, This Counsells good, if thou do'st go, Drink a Sack Possel [wine punch], quart or two: 'Twill cause thee sleep, and banish sorrow, And make thee fresh to rise the Morrow.

**Diet**

The relationship between diet and health has been called one of the most ancient branches of the therapeutic art. During the early modern period, diet was considered “the mother of diseases” because it was the longest—and most frequently internalised component of the non-naturals. Today, overconsumption of food and drink and a sedentary lifestyle are regularly linked to obesity and subsequently high rates of mortality and morbidity.

The concept of “nutritional medicine” is another aspect of 21st century health care. This focuses on the use of specific nutritional factors in conjunction with the elimination of toxic and/or allergenic materials from the daily diet. Although the terminology differed somewhat in the 17th century, the principle was almost exactly the same. People were advised to eat a varied diet, with the proviso that the type and quantity of food to be ingested depended on each person’s individual age and constitution.

The healthiest diets were also supposed to change with the seasons of the year, in quantity as well as in types of food and drink taken. Although part of this advice might have been linked to seasonal availability, it also took accounts of the changes in the human body. In the winter:

We may feed liberally on strong meats, as Beef, Barren Does, Gelt [gelded] and on spiced and baked meats for whole better digestion, and to shut the orifice of the mouth of the stomach. Some other having weak stomachs, take digestive powders made of swet Fennel Seeds, Coriander, Coral prepared, a little Mastick, Cinnamon and rose sugar, with the conserves of Roses.

In the warmer seasons, somewhat different advice was offered. In April, “our blood begins to heat and wax rank, and therefore it is expedient to eat meats of light digestion. Salt meats are very hurtful, chiefly to restive persons”. During the springtime readers were advised to:

Abstain from such Meats as do engender raw, crude, and moist humours. Now a cup of good White-wine taken fasting doth purge choler, and offensive humours from the Stomach; Eat moderately, and such meats as are easily concocted.

Many popular books spelled out the specific foods that readers should consume. Some recommended items such as cheese and dairy products, in spite of the modern perception that they were often thought of as foods only suitable for the poor. Wealthier people tended to use butter only for cooking, and saw cheese as inferior to meat. On the other hand, dairy products became increasingly popular amongst working town dwellers during the 17th century. Cheese, in particular, was a common feature of the daily diet. Generally, it was thought that for “healthy men may choose be wholesome food, But for the weak and sickly 'tis not good”.

Other foods, however, were thought to provoke illness and were to be avoided at any cost. These included “eating trash and food contrary to Nature”. According to one author, these included items such as “garlicke, onions, radishes, sweete and thick Wines, salt meates, coleworts [and] pulses”.

The content of contemporary printed works suggests that the assumption that people ate very small quantities of vegetables and fruits was not true. “This idea was presumably caused by the term “meat” being used generically for all types of food. In fact, there are actually numerous direct references to the consumption of fruits and vegetables in popular works. Some, such as “sallets” were recommended as being particularly healthy, and good for “cooling the body” during the hot summer months.

Fresh fruits, in season, were well liked and consumed in large quantities. Indeed, this was so much the case that many almanacs warned readers about the dangers of overindulging. Dried fruits were very popular, often as ingredients in mulled wine or other foods. These included “raysons of the sun” or figs. Unripe fruit was considered to be detrimental to one’s health, although in a ripe state it was wholesome. This was thought to be especially true in the summertime, when fruits and herbs had “their crude and superfluous humours exhaled”.

Wine, consumed in moderation, was thought to be an important ally in the fight against disease. According to Tobias Whitaker, “the bloud of the grape” was “neerest to the nature of the Gods and their nature is incorrupt”. Wine was the most nutritious beverage available, being “more pure and better concocted then any other juyc, either of mille, egges, corne, fruits, or the like”. People who regularly consumed the beverage could expect to be “faire, fresh, plump, and fat”, in contrast with water or beer drinkers, who “look like Apes rather than men”.

Once again, wine would protect health only if taken in moderation. Whitaker’s book warned that “By the excessive quantity [of wine], you will adde so much oyle to the Lampe as shall extinguish it”. This was because the wine would “penetrate into all the parts, and go into the veins undigested, and pricke the nerves and brains”. This would, in turn, “inflameth the bloud, debilitate the nerves [and] vexeth the head”. Once the patient had been so affected, the way was clear for such “deadly diseases, as apoplexies, dropsies, palisies, the gout and many others to strike”.

**Evacuation and retention**

It was widely accepted that good health was linked to the periodical removal of excessive humours. This was done by regularly purging the system as a preventive measure. The modern definition of purging refers to the emptying of the bowels, perhaps through the assistance of colonic irrigation.
In the 17th century, however, this was only one of many different methods used to remove unwanted materials from the body. There were a number of different ways in which to purge offensive matter from almost every natural or artificial bodily orifice. Generally, they began with phlebotomy, or bloodletting (from the Greek phleps or vein, and tome or incision). Vomiting, “neesing” (sneezing) and gargarismes (gargles) were three popular methods for clearing the upper body. A more general, overall method was causing the body to sweat.

There were correct and incorrect times for carrying out any of these treatments. In cases of phlebotomy, the position of the sun, moon, or Lord of the Ascendant was of primary importance. The best time for bleeding was when any of these occupied the zodiacal sign controlling the disease in question. Decisions also needed to be made as to opening veins or arteries, and whether to use a lancet, leeches, or cupping to draw the blood.

Whether used as preventive medicine, or to treat an illness, there were vital guidelines to follow. In 1647 Woodhouse warned that “…many times the careless and unlearned, by letting of blood, openeth a way to dangerous infirmities, and oft to present death”. According to one popular book, the best form of purging was “the sweet Evacuation by way of Venus”. In moderation and at the correct times of the year, sexual intercourse was an important part of good health. Galenic theory held that if the “natural seed” were kept too long within the body it would turn into poison. In fact, for married men, regulated and moderate sexual emissions played a major part in the preservation of health. Although most advice of this nature was aimed at men, some authors suggested that regular sexual intercourse was a necessity for women:

The First Mover and Maker of all things knew it necessary, to have a procreation of Mankind, for the continual supply of the World... and “was not only sufficient to make a Man and a Woman so, and furnish them with Instruments proper to conjunction and copulation; but also that as well as in the Man, as in the Woman, there should be a desire, and magnetical attraction to the Art of Copulation”.

On the other hand, too much sex would weaken the seed, and could result in stunted or deformed offspring. During certain times of the year any amount of sexual activity was considered dangerous. This was particularly true in the summer months when readers were advised to abstain from “venerious acts” or “venus-sport”. Those who chose to indulge themselves nevertheless were likely to overheat their blood which would result in a multitude of illnesses.

According to contemporary stereotypes, either abstinence or even moderation could be almost impossible for many married men. Women were attributed with a “voracious sexuality” which could make them physically demanding whatever the season. Such behaviour could adversely affect their male partners, as too much sex was thought to weaken their seed and therefore their general health. The physical reason for this had to do with Galenic theory, whereby men were thought to be hotter and drier than women were. In some cases, the woman’s urge to obtain sperm to counteract her colder and wetter complexion could spiral out of control. For most women, however, there were other reasons they both required, and enjoyed sexual relations:

The sense and feeling of venerious actions seemeth to be given by nature to women, not only for the propagation of issue and for the conservation of mankind, but also to mitigate and assuage the miseries of man’s life.

The other major problem of unconfined sex was that of venereal disease. This was particularly true for those pursuing extramarital sex. As a number of contemporary vernacular medical books warned, the result of this could easily lead to “claps” or the “french pox”. In order to drive this point home, some almanacs contained the frightening list of symptoms that such readers could expect:

Running of the Reins, and the Symptoms as Pains in the Head, Shoulders, Arms, Shin-Bones, Heat and Scalding of Urine, prickings in making Water, soreness; or swelling in the Yard or Groin, hard Pustles or Blisters in the Head, Neck, Face, Whitish, Yellowish, Greenish or Blewish Matter issuing from the Privy-parts.

Passions and emotions

The final “non-natural” influence appears to have been a favourite topic for 17th century writers. A number of books were published urging readers to suppress their pride, envy, malice, sorrow, and fear. Such themes are still popular today, both in the academic press and in the multitude of popular “self help” books and articles printed in the mass media. Some studies suggest that such “negative emotions” increase mortality rates following a myocardial infarction, and even play a role in the onset of coronary disease.

Early Modern writers held similar views. An over emotional state would weaken the body and provide the perfect, welcoming conditions for “disorders”. An excess of passion or emotion was thought to weaken the body. This held equally true for positive as for negative feelings. One almanac reminded readers that while they should “fly wrath, anger and envy”, they needed to “use mirth also moderately”. Unfortunately, some people had a propensity to strong emotions owing to their birth sign. People born in April or November, under Mars, were thought to be hot, dry, and choleric. This meant they were likely to be “bold and proud, given to mock, scorn, quarrel, game, drink and wench, and sometimes steal”; their internal “extremity of passion” put such people in particular danger of falling ill, and was thought to lead also to debauchery, surfeits, and fevers.

In the direst cases, the sins of passion could cause a person to go mad or die an early death.

CONCLUSION

Preventive health care has been one of the basic tenets of popular medicine. The idea that it was better to retain health rather than fight illness was based on tradition, observation, and good sense. Many types of popular medical books listed a number of ways in which people could protect their health. The majority of these revolved around choosing a proper lifestyle, based on Galenic theory. Through the use of the six “non-naturals”, such information could be broken down into manageable, easily understood segments. The majority of advice would have been possible to follow, if somewhat tedious, or perhaps unpleasant. It does not seem likely that people believed they could always keep illness at bay. Their own experiences would have strongly contradicted this. I think, however, that the emphasis on trying to protect one’s health served two purposes.

In the first place, attempting to follow a regime based on the non-naturals probably did result in stronger bodies that were more resistant to disease. Indeed many of these influences are still recognised as building blocks of good health. Modern medicine also acknowledges that unpolluted air is safer to breathe, and less likely to damage the lungs and other organs. The strain on the body from overwork and insufficient sleep is still generally acknowledged. Through the efforts of modern government propaganda, most people know it is important to eat certain foods in specified quantities. The purging of bodily
wastes, through the use of laxatives or diuretics, also has been common for many years. In addition, the growth of interest in alternative medicine has also meant a greater acceptance of purgative enemas such as “colonic cleaning.”

In the second place, I believe that being provided with, and attempting to follow, a systematic method of preventive health served an important function. It addressed what has been recognised as the rampant feeling of helplessness in the face of disease. It provided the readers of such advice additionally with the feeling that they had some control over events. During the routine of daily life, one might feel that a disease had been avoided through the personal efforts of prevention. Perhaps they also served the purpose of a placebo, helping to prevent illness through mind power.

Both of these considerations hold as true today as they did then.

AUTHOR’S NOTE: REFERENCING CONVENTION FOR EARLY MODERN CITATIONS

Citations for early modern books (c. 1450–1800) do not follow the same format as for modern printed works. The most noticeable differences were that they did not always include information on their publisher and that the pagination system was different from today. Although Arabic numerals were commonly used, some sections, or even whole books only contained “signatures”. These were printers' aids, consisting of letters, numbers, or marks at the foot of the first leaf of each section as an aid to compiling the complete volume. Today, historians use these as referencing tools, with “sig A2r” representing the second page of the first section. The r refers to the Latin recto, which means the front side of the page, while A2v would refer to verso, or the back side of the page.
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Fugitive Pieces


“Athos, how big is the actual heart?” asks the young Jewish boy, Jakob Beer, in the novel Fugitive Pieces (page 113). The reply is: “Imagine the size and heaviness of a handful of earth” (page 113). Athos is an archaeologist and this perhaps is an archaeologist’s answer. A doctor might reply that, providing it is healthy, it is the size of a human fist. For us it is an amazingly powerful and resilient organ that drives the blood around the body some 70 times a minute for the whole of a human life. Poets and people in general though may see it as a symbol of love and the human spirit. Life. Poets and people in general though may see it as a symbol of love and the human spirit. Poets and people in general though may see it as a symbol of love and the human spirit. Poets and people in general though may see it as a symbol of love and the human spirit.

Through living Jakob’s life with him we experience the loss, the nightmares, and to another place and connect us to history. And in its subject matter. It charts the journey of a frightened, bereft child into adulthood and loss. The question that haunts me most is: “If sound waves carry on to infinity, where are their remnants now?” (page 54) But it is also a book about coming to terms with that suffering and loss.

Jakob Beer is seven and unlike his elder sister, Bella, small enough to hide in the gap in the wall behind the wardrobe where the Nazis come. Consequently he survives but Bella and his parents perish in the gas chambers. He is rescued by Athos who smuggles him out of Poland and hides him on a Greek island for the rest of the war. There is no graphic description of the horror of the times. Rather it emerges chillingly, and far more effectively, through little details. When Jakob is first discovered he screams the only words he knows in more than one language: “Dirty Jew, dirty Jew” (page 13). Old men, also survivors, “dip their numbered arms into barrels of brine” (page 101). We wonder what the murderers “make of Bella’s hair as they cut it—did they feel humiliated as they fingered its magnificence, as they hung it on the line to dry?” (page 106)? When a neighbour tries to warn Ben’s parents of an impending flood his father slams the door in his face (page 245). He just cannot stand the knocking. A curious feature of the book is that the last third is not about Jakob but about Ben, who appears unconnected to him. Some may see this as a weakness but by making Ben, the son of survivors, a kind of heir to Jakob, a survivor who has no child, and who himself has been raised by the childless Athos, Anne Michaels connects them to each other and to history in a way that offers us all hope.

In surviving Jakob felt that he had cheated fate, which forced him to ask the question: “if you escape your fate, whose life do you then step into?” (page 48). He knew the answer, which is actually found earlier in the book.

Even as a child, even as my blood past, I was drained from me, I understood that if I were strong enough to accept it, I was being offered a second history (page 20).

To rebuild a life after disaster and to construct a different narrative that was meaningful was the challenge for Jakob, as it is a challenge for all who suffer, whether through loss or through illness. What Anne Michaels is suggesting to us is the possibility of healing, even after something as unspeakable as the holocaust, and the notion that language and narrative are part of the process. Small wonder then that the language in the book is so beautiful and so intense. It has to be. Nothing less would suffice. But there are times when words fail us and we are at a loss to communicate. There are people too, who are denied, or have lost the use of language. Music therapy may help to conquer this void and to reduce the fear, and music is another fascinating strand that runs through this book. For Anne Michaels is also a musician who composes for the theatre and she can testify to the power of music to take us to another place and connect us to history. Jakob’s sister, Bella, a gifted pianist, blots out the screams in the camp by rehearsing Beethoven and Brahms in her head, using her forearm as a keyboard (page 167). In the midst of the nightmare the music is still beautiful.

Fugitive Pieces is a book that achieves the most extraordinary feats. It somehow manages to be incredibly simple, and yet incredibly complex at the same time, both in its prose and in its subject matter. It charts the journey of a frightened, bereft child into adulthood and demonstrates how love, beauty, knowledge, music, and words can raise us above pain and iniquity. Simple truths like this can, and often do, sound trite in the wrong hands. In Anne Michael’s they are anything but.

Fugitive Pieces is a wonderful book that shows us all the possibilities of the human heart and spirit. It should sit alongside the anatomy textbooks.
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