The people speak: social media on euthanasia/ assisted dying

Chrystal Jaye , ¹ Isabelle Lomax-Sawyers, ¹ Jessica Young, ¹ Richard Egan²

¹General Practice and Rural Health, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand ²Preventive and Social Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

Correspondence to

Professor Chrystal Jaye, General Practice and Rural Health. University of Otago, Dunedin 9054, New Zealand; chrystal.jaye@otago.ac.nz

Accepted 10 December 2018 Published Online First 7 February 2019

ABSTRACT

In New Zealand, aiding and abetting a person to commit suicide or euthanasia even with consent is unlawful. The introduction of a third Bill on assisted dying to the House of Representatives following a high-profile court case afforded an opportunity for examining how assisted dving was discussed in the public sphere. In this article. we report on a discourse analysis of a selection of social media to illustrate the ways in which citizens participate in the voluntary euthanasia debate. The volume of social media posts that made up our data set suggests that the legalisation of assisted dying is a highly topical and deeply salient societal issue. Social media postings represent the voices of ordinary citizens who may not participate in formal public consultation processes. Based on our analysis, the assignment of binary conclusions about public opinion is simplistic and fails to adequately represent the intricacies of public debate. Contributors' posts reveal deeply held sociocultural values, as well as tensions about the relationship between citizens and the apparatus of government.

INTRODUCTION

Voluntary euthanasia and assisted dying are contentious and divisive social issues in which politicians, the legal, medical and religious professions, and the public all claim a significant stake in decisionmaking. Global developments in euthanasia and assisted dying law have previously been well summarised, and we do not rehearse these here apart to note that the circumstances under which assisted dying is permissible and the regulatory schemes governing the practice vary significantly in different countries and jurisdictions. As a general principle, however, all require that a voluntary request is made by a mentally competent person who is free from coercion.²³

On 8 June 2017, Member of Parliament David Seymour's End of Life Choice Bill 2017 was drawn from the Members' Ballot in Parliament. The Bill 'gives people with a terminal illness or a grievous and irremediable medical condition the option of requesting assisted dying.'4 Thirty-five thousand submissions were received by the Select Committee.⁵ A previous inquiry into public opinion on euthanasia by Parliament's Health Select Committee received over 21000 submissions, of which one analysis found approximately 80% opposed law changes to allow assisted dying.⁶⁷ This is in stark contrast with public opinion polling, which has consistently found that a majority of New Zealanders support

Definitions

A significant problem in the assisted dying debate is terminological ambiguity. 12 In this paper, we adopt the definitions recommended by the European Association for Palliative Care Ethics Task Force:

Euthanasia is killing on request and is defined as: A doctor intentionally killing a person by the administration of drugs, at that person's voluntary and competent request. Physician-assisted suicide is defined as: A doctor intentionally helping a person to commit suicide by providing drugs for self-administration, at that person's voluntary and competent request. 13 (p 98)

We use 'assisted dying' as a generic term to encompass euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide, in line with language used by Goldney, 14 Hendry et al¹⁵ and in the End of Life Choice Bill 2017.4 It should be noted, however, that the term 'euthanasia' is frequently used in a similarly broad sense in media and public discourse.

High-profile media cases

Several assisted dying prosecutions have received significant media attention in New Zealand. 16 17 A particularly high-profile case was that of Lecretia Seales in 2015. 18 Lecretia Seales was a lawyer diagnosed with an inoperable brain tumour. She sought confirmation of whether her doctor would be acting unlawfully if she administered, or provided a prescription for, a fatal drug to Ms Seales. She also asked the court to determine whether her inability to access assisted dying was a breach of her rights under the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. In an urgent judgement delivered shortly before Ms Seale's death in June 2015, Judge Collins acknowledged that Ms Seales had 'selflessly provided a forum to clarify important aspects of New Zealand law' but determined that the changes she was seeking could only be made by Parliament. 18

Previous research in New Zealand

A systematised review of the past 20 years of New Zealand research found support and opposition for assisted dying among New Zealanders is stable across time; 67.9% and 13.8%, respectively, with 16.1% unsure. 11 The opinions of health professionals on assisted dying appear somewhat more divided as represented by professional bodies. 19-22 Research on the attitudes of New Zealand doctors and nurses towards assisted dying found that 58% of doctors were opposed to a law change while 67% of nurses were supportive of a law change.²³

Previous qualitative research into New Zealand citizens' views on euthanasia has primarily used

assisted dying.8-11



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published

To cite: Jaye C, Lomax-Sawyers I, Young J, et al. Med Humanit 2021;**47**:47–55.



47

written and phone surveys, interviews with individuals and small focus groups. Malpas et al interviewed older New Zealanders opposed to euthanasia to explore their perspectives. Dominant themes included personal experiences with healthcare and death, religious beliefs, concerns about the potential slippery slope consequences of legalisation and worries about abuse.²⁴ Related research on why older New Zealanders support medical practices that hasten death found that fear of being a burden on others is a significant factor in evaluating end of life issues for many healthy older people.²⁵ Māori perspectives towards physician-assisted dying are more nuanced—encompassing different understandings of assisted dying, the power held by kaumātua (elders), whanau (family) and medical professionals in end of life matters, significance of kawa (protocol) around death and dying, the place of whanau in the dying process and the importance of wairua (spirituality).²⁶

An analysis of New Zealand's public discourse on assisted dying examined nursing, legal and media texts from 2002 to 2004, when assisted dying gained a high profile with the introduction of Peter Brown's Death with Dignity Bill and the prosecution of Lesley Martin. ¹⁷ Lewis explored in detail two major competing discourses: sanctity of life discourse and right to die discourse. ²⁷ The most recent analysis of personal narratives of seeking a good death and the right to die and shared on social media found patient choice is a constructed illusion within medical discourse that positions patients as deviant. ²⁸

Social media

Social media facilitate communication between individuals across societal, cultural, ethnic and national boundaries, representing 'interplays of worldviews, values and concepts' and offering new possibilities and challenges to researchers²⁹ (p 150). It has transformed public responsiveness to events, press releases and media announcements. Rather than days or weeks to write letters to newspapers, or queueing on the telephone to talk with talkback radio hosts, the public can respond within minutes and disseminate information through their social media networks on a truly viral global scale.³⁰

The extent to which social media influence public debate is contested. ²⁹ ³⁰ The unfiltered nature of social media postings means that profundity and nonsense, fabrication and truth sit alongside each other in a bewildering and fragmentary collage. ³⁰ Bouvier suggests that online debates of sociopolitical issues tend to frame events and contributions into pre-existing personal perspectives and ontological frameworks. ²⁹ This means that the degree to which social media contributors actually demonstrate engagement and openness to perspectives contrary to their own is variable—highly divisive and polarising issues may not result in meaningful exchanges because supporters of one or another position use social media to correspond with each other rather than to conduct meaningful debates with opponents ³¹ (p 44).

Politicians and organisations pay close attention to social media; in our own data set, we found contributions from politicians and public figures sitting within threads alongside the posts of ordinary citizens. Shirky used the example of a social media storm that mobilised citizen protests and caused a consequent change of political action in the Philippines to argue that strong public sentiments can influence the decision-making of politicians.³²

Social media cannot be ignored, whether or not one chooses to participate in it. We suggest that social media, like more traditional media, reflect and refract public opinion on topical issues such as assisted dying in complex and highly nuanced ways.

Assisted dying is a polarising and emotive issue with strong moral and ethical underpinnings. In this article, we show that the debate in the public social media space includes not only perspectives on what the law should be, and what is the right decision regarding the End of Life Choice Bill, but broader issues about who should make this decision and how it should be made on behalf of citizens, and the ways in which contributors to the debate legitimate their authority to speak to this issue.

METHODS

The data set for this project comprised existing texts in the public domain, collected from a variety of popular platforms for general discussion of New Zealand current events (described below). Analysis was conducted using a qualitative approach of discourse analysis consistent with an interpretive and social constructivist theoretical framework. Discourse analysis covers a lot of methodological territory across a range of disciplines. For this study, we followed Lupton who described discourse as identifiable patterned systems of texts, message, talk or dialogue.³³ In this approach, attention is paid to the textual and contextual components of discourse. The former includes structural and microelements such as grammar and rhetorical devices, content and overt meaning, as well as macroelements such as topics and themes. The contextual elements represent the social, political and cultural fields that give rise to discourses and their claims to truth.³⁴ According to van Dijk (cited in Lupton), discourse analysis is ideally suited for gaining insights into the morals and ideals that underpin communications.³³ Discourses are scripts of social practices, incorporating identities, knowledges, values, historical and sociopolitical matters—'the social goings on that lie behind texts'²⁹ (p 155).

Ethical considerations

Ethical approval was not deemed necessary for this project because the data were within the public domain. However, with researchers turning their attention to the internet as a rich source of data, internet ethics has become highly salient. Zimmer claims that, far from being diminished in cyberspace, issues of consent, privacy and autonomy become even more important³⁵ (p 324). Internet research ethics highlight the 'loaded nature of terms such as 'public' and 'private' and the difficulty of applying them to the online world'36 (p 323). Conventional binary distinctions between 'private' and 'public' do not apply in cyberspace, and individuals can engage with social media holding expectations of privacy. 37 38 One key ethical issue is that internet users are not expecting that their activities will become part of a research data set; and this unauthorised secondary use of posts could also be considered a violation of privacy. 35 38 Another is the issue of potential traceability of social media excerpts published in research papers and reports. How then should researchers approach this field?

Researchers in this space have been described as covert lurkers in that they do not disclose their presence and they do not gain consent from contributors to be present or to use their material. One guide to ethical research of social media recommends researchers consider the ethical principles of respect for persons, justice and beneficence on a case by case basis for each project. This includes an obligation to protect the vulnerable and to balance the rights of internet users and those of researchers. It also includes considering the extent to which internet data might be considered to be sensitive, personal and private, or public and freely available for reposting and analysis. 37

Moreno *et al* note that contributors to social media can place limitations on the accessibility of posts using the privacy controls for profile owners—certainly the case for Facebook and LinkedIn.³⁹ von Benzon argues that framing contributors to social media as vulnerable, ignorant and naïve is a highly paternalistic stance that denies contributors' agency and diminishes their autonomy.³⁸ She and Bruckman suggest that contributors should be recognised as authors in the same way that professional authors of other online and publicly availably works are.³⁸ ⁴⁰ von Benzon supports her argument by citing examples of international prosecutions against individuals who have used social media for hate attacks, thus recognising their agency³⁸ (p 5). Courts have so far upheld the stance that users of social media cannot expect privacy in materials that are posted to social media and which are available to the public.³⁹

Our data comprised selected social media. We excluded specific interest group blogs such as that of End of Life Choice NZ or Care Alliance, and limited our sources of data to sites which were unequivocally situated in the public domain and where postings are clearly for public consumption. Following Pedersen and Lupton, 41 the data we collected were not elicited by researchers, nor commented on, were freely available to the public online, identities are not revealed, and we assume that contributors were aware that for to which they posted were public and content available to non-members. We have not collected personal information about contributors although we are aware that postings may be traceable if searched for.³⁵ von Benzon describes, we view posts as social commentary and therefore a legitimate source of secondary data.³⁸ Digital space is used as a means of accessing information about health, illness and medicine and represents a space in which cultural understandings and practices are contested.

Data collection

We searched our selected sources using the keywords Euthanasia OR 'assisted suicide' OR 'assisted dying' OR 'aid in dying' and date range 8 June 2017 to 2 August 2017. This period of time was chosen because it followed the selection from the Parliamentary Ballot and publicisation of the Seymour Bill, and also provided parameters on the data set. Use of these search terms automatically included texts that mentioned 'voluntary euthanasia', 'medically assisted suicide' and 'medical aid in dying'. Where comments were present, these were included for analysis.

A search on news database Factiva and further Google site searches were performed for documents from NZ Herald, Dominion Post, Otago Daily Times, Waikato Times and The Press. These publications were selected based on readership and geographic representation across New Zealand. Google site searches were also performed for written texts from One News, Newshub, Māori Television, Radio New Zealand and Newstalk ZB websites. Google site searches were performed for documents from new media sites thespinoff.co.nz, thewireless.co.nz, noted.co.nz and newsroom.co.nz. Political blogs were selected for inclusion in consultation with an expert contact in the New Zealand blogosphere. Google site searches were performed for Whale Oil, Kiwiblog, The Standard, The Daily Blog, Public Address, Pundit and E-Tangata.

A Google search was performed in site: www.reddit.com/r/newzealand/. Due to the nature of social media search functions, we employed alternative strategies to collect data on Facebook and Twitter. For Facebook, Google site searching was performed on the Facebook pages for NZ Herald, Stuff, Māori Television, One News, Newshub, The Spinoff and The Wireless using the

keywords described above, and eight relevant posts were identified within our date range. Comments were included for analysis. For Twitter, it was not possible to accurately collect only New Zealand tweets for the entire date range, as most Twitter users disable location services. The following searches were used in order to return only New Zealand content:

- ► Tweets with 'euthanasia bill' OR 'end of life choice' from 8 June 2017 to 2 August 2017.
- ► Tweets on the popular New Zealand political hashtags #nzpol and #nzqt, or tweets mentioning the Bill's sponsor @dbseymour, that included our keywords within our date range.
- ► Tweets that included our keywords and were sent on the date of a focusing event that generated local discussion about assisted dying, which were as follows:
 - Selection of the End of Life Choice Bill from the ballot on 8 June 2017.
 - Publication of a Colmar Brunton poll on 14 July 2017.
 - Release of the Health Committee report on assisted dying on 2 August.

All Twitter search results were screened by Isabelle Lomax-Sawyers to identify tweets by New Zealanders for inclusion. This resulted in 1256 pages of text, more than 463 000 words of data.

Summary of data sources

Source type	Number of posts	Total texts including comments
Blog posts	19	940
Facebook threads	8	1823
Tweet threads	68	230
Reddit threads	3	220
Newspaper articles, opinion pieces and editorials	32	281
Letters to editor	42	42
New media posts	5	5
Articles on radio and television websites	27	27
	204	3568

Analysis

Coding of data was completed using ATLAS.ti by Isabelle Lomax-Sawyers. A small sample of the data was coded independently by all researchers to establish analytic concordance, and subsequent thematic development and interpretation of findings were discussed over several team meetings. We used a pragmatic inductive method of analysis, 42 categorising and grouping initial codes into overarching themes in two consecutive processes. Our emergent themes aligned with Lupton's textual and contextual thematics of discourse analysis which are described throughout. 33

RESULTS

Our findings are presented in tables 1, 2 and 3. These tables represent the three major themes and subthemes concerning the social media debate stimulated by the media attention around the drawing of the End of Life Choice Bill. The selected quotes presented in these tables are exemplars drawn from the data set. The tables present a description of each subtheme and exemplars from the primary positions within each subtheme (for and against). We have not attempted to enumerate the 'for' and 'against' positions within our data set because we cannot claim that contributors to our social media sample represent the

Theme	Explanation	Exemplar 1	Exemplar 2
Meta-discussion			
Validity of debate	Quotations about the timeliness and appropriateness of having a debate about euthanasia now. Several expressed the idea that other issues are more important and this should wait, while others expressed that euthanasia is an idea whose time has come, or that it is 'about time' for this debate.	I'll consider euthanasia when we have no kids living in cars.	All that aside, it is time we have this debate. Just like we did with Homosexual law reform and Marriage Equality, New Zealand is laggin among other western democracies when it comes to the legal possibility of people avoiding a prolonged, painful death that robs people of their personality, their dignity and it contaminates the memories of those left behind who will only remember the frail, pained last moments with their loved one.
Debate content	Debates around terminology, definitions and the kind of arguments that should or should not be allowed in the AD debate, for example, religious, emotive.	You see, this is the great problem with warm fuzzy emotional arguments and an argument that discusses the objective risks involved in mercy killing. The emotive argument always wins because the majority are moved by heart strings and not logic or sound reasoning.	Yes! This is a personal choice and religion should not play a part in our law making.
Authority to speak	Quotations asserting that certain groups (eg, the terminally ill, people who have witnessed a loved one die, or health professionals) have more or less authority to contribute to public discussion about AD.	Until u watch a loved one die (from a terminal illness), in excruciating pain, swearing and yelling for help with clothes falling off during the trashing pain u don't understand. The worst night of my life. We r talking about dignity and love to not put them thru pain in certain death.	Save us from the religious zealots of the New Zealand Medical Council who wish to turn thi country into the Hand Maid's Tale!
Social influences on the debate	Quotations discussing the social factors that influence members of the public in their views on AD, for example, media framing and balanced journalism, and demographic considerations.	However many wedding photos of Lecretia Seales and Matt Vickers are published in the media, the reality of assisted suicide for the mentally ill and vulnerable elderly will never be sexy or romantic.	The well-off, highly educated group represents by David Seymour and Matt Vickers do not livin the real world of most New Zealanders—where mental health challenges are common and elder abuse by grown-up children is rife. Therefore they cannot conceive of any abuse happening—but it will if this Bill becomes lav
Rhetorical devices			
Comparisons to other issues	Quotations that compare AD with other issues. Popular comparisons include eugenics, the Holocaust, abortion, the death penalty, animal euthanasia and suicide.	From those that brought you baby killing on demand are now going after the elderly, the most vulnerable in society are being treated like dogs, cats are treated better.	That is a big YES for me, euthanasia is done o animals so why can't it be done on humans. A horse breaks its leg you get the vet & as we say, Put it down, With a person who has got say six weeks to live & are in pain all that time with terminal cancer is it fair to see that person suffer
Humour	Quotations that used humour or puns. Variations on the pun 'youth in Asia' were popular. Several suggestions were also made for individuals or groups who should be first in line for (involuntary) euthanasia.	As the right honourable Ben Couch commented when euthanasia was debated in the 1980s. 'We should look after our own young people first.'	Do we need a whole parliament to vote on whether or not we euthanise David Seymour? Seems more like a personal decision
Other	Quotations that made use of other rhetorical devices, including 'slippery slope' and 'thin end of the wedge' arguments, reference to specific anecdotes to argue for or against AD, referring to AD as murder, use of phrases such as 'playing God' and adages such as 'what is right is not always popular.'	It's a slippery slope. 'Voluntary' euthanasia leads to involuntary euthanasia of those considered undesirable.	Murder by any other name is still murder?

general population, nor all social media users, nor indeed, all social media sources on assisted dying during this period.

Theme 1: how should assisted dying be debated?

The first theme, pertaining to what Lupton referred to as the textual components,³³ on the ways in which assisted dying is debated is presented in table 1. We identified two subthemes. We labelled the first subtheme 'meta-discussion' because it encompassed several threads by social media contributors that appeared to discuss the rules for discussing the topic itself. These included the validity of this topic in the contemporary New Zealand sociopolitical context relative to other issues such as child poverty and homelessness, and competing perspectives of progressiveness—that like other progressive societal reforms, the legalisation of assisted dying is inevitable in a just, humane and compassionate society that upholds principles of autonomy. Another category of the meta-discussion was the actual content of the debate. This referred to what the terms used (voluntary euthanasia, assisted dying, physician-assisted suicide) actually mean. It also referred to the supporting moral and ethical frameworks used to justify a contributor's position, such as Christian, humanitarian and philosophical arguments. This was linked to the next category in this subtheme which concerned who had authority to speak on this issue (and more importantly perhaps, who should carry greater persuasive weight). Should the opinions of the terminally ill and their closest kin, the medical

Table 2	What should the	law be, and how should we decide?		
Theme		Explanation	Exemplar 1	Exemplar 2
What is the	law for?			
Formalisi	ng the status quo	Quotations discussing the degree to which AD already happens in New Zealand, how it is treated under the law and comparing AD to passive practices that hasten death.	You are quite right—doctors quietly assist patients to fade away all the timeand this has been happening for donkey's years. The issue, I guess, is are we comfortable with that system, or do we want something more regulated?	Be just like turning off the switch on life support.
Hidden m	notives	Quotations discussing possible motivations for the introduction of assisted dying legislation, including to save healthcare costs, to allow government to deal with dissidents, or for the purpose of eugenics.	Well I was pro-choice but when someone like ACT's Snotnose Seymour is backing itit now has a whole scary scenario If he thinks poor people shouldn't reproduce thendoes he also believe sick elderly poor people should be euthanased?	For ACT, euthanasia is a free market solution to health. In a country with a mental health system as horrifically underfunded as ours, euthanasia would simply become a tread mill by faceless Wellington bureaucrats for cost cutting purposes on the most vulnerable.
What should	d the law be?			
Eligibility		Quotations discussing possible eligibility criteria for AD, including terminal illness and sound mind/competence. Several also discussed the importance of safeguards to ensure that AD remains only for those intended in the Bill, and critiqued the current drafting of the Bill.	I don't know why the bill shouldn't be open to people who are 'not dying'—presumably meaning not diagnosed as terminally ill—if they have poor quality of life. You make a fair point that medical practitioners generally can not predict life expectancy with absolute certainty, and the bill should specify an appropriate standard of proof which a doctor is comfortable working with (eg, many doctors are more comfortable that someone is more likely than not to die within a certain timeframe, irrespective of any treatment that may be administered).	that is required is that the person is 'mentally capable of understanding the nature and consequences of assisted dying'. To be blunt, the first part means the person understands a doctor will be authorised to kill them, and that once this is done, that they will be dead. Not
Manner o	of AD	Quotations discussing what method of AD would be acceptable, including whether doctors may administer a lethal dose or whether patients should do this, and in what setting AD should be administered.	Yes I believe this should be a legal option. HOWEVER the person must be of sound mind & able to administer or proceed with it themselves—no one else should have to kill a person.	And matters of where people can be killed such as beaches, parks and other public place should be outlawed. And euthanasia and abortion should not take place in hospitals as they are not life saving of life affirming matters. They should be in other buildings altogether. Just like the vets is.
How should	I we decide?			
Majoritar	rianism	Quotations asserting that the decision around AD should be based on public opinion, and quotations discussing the possible measures of public opinion that may be used, including opinion polls, a referendum or the existing Health Committee submissions.	Polls don't mean much, because the issue to too complex for a yes/no question. However, Parliament's Health Select Committee received a record number of unique submissions on people's attitudes to 'assisted dying' (about 21 400) and 77% are OPPOSED to changing the law. They also heard hundreds of people speak to them in person and the vast majority were opposed to changing the law.	My simple question is that if this is a question 'that affects us all' why cannot we be allowed a binding referendum on the matter. I am against euthanasia. But I can live with the decisions of my fellow New Zealanders. It is time the political leadership in NZ recognised that we are adult enough to make our own decisions on these matters.
Political implications AD, assisted dying; MP, Member o		Quotations discussing the possible impact of party-political positioning on the AD debate, or discussing the political impact of the AD debate, including how it might affect the (then-upcoming) election.	I think it would be disrespectful to not even send it to a select committee. That is where people can have their say and also debate the specifics of the proposed law and whether the safeguards are adequate. I would quite understand that some MPs would vote against after it comes out of select committee if they feel the safeguards are not adequate. But to not even have the select committee process and debate would be wrong.	This is fantastic news. Not only will assisted dying get a fair debate, but it's going to be an election issue—the very thing the Nats and Labour were trying to avoid.

profession, the legal profession, the pastor or ethicist carry more moral authority? The final major category concerns the factors that influence the debate on assisted dying. This related to the framing of assisted dying by interest groups, politicians and media reports, as well as to the influence of socioeconomic and other demographic factors on shaping the public's views.

The second subtheme concerns the persuasive rhetorical devices that were being employed by contributors. These included reference to comparators such as the historic Nazi Germany's euthanasia and eugenics programme, and social attitudes and legislation on animal euthanasia, abortion and suicide. There was a notable use of humour in many contributions, as

Table 3 What will be the consequences of allowing assisted dying? Theme **Explanation** Exemplar 1 Exemplar 2 Individual consequences Involuntary death Quotations discussing the possible consequence I am quite against this proposal—how The issue from what I have heard from disability of assisted dying that people who do not really often do we see of family taking money advocates is that the so-called right to die may want to die will end up being pressured, coerced from their parent, grandparent. How much well become a duty to die. There is no true agency or feeling a duty to do so, or that people who do more convenient would it be to take the or choice in that happening when a person is not want to die will be killed against their will. lot, especially if it was slipping away being subject to a number of pressures that subtly or not so subtly tell them they are worthless and ought paid for care. to die sooner rather than later instead of giving options that might alleviate the suffering. Premature death Quotations discussing the possible consequence How do we know a terminally ill person Would you want to be put out of your misery? Or of assisted dying that people who were not that's wants to die today may not have perhaps you could be cured. Sometimes doctors really terminal had an inaccurate prognosis changed their mind if they were alive don't get it right and people live longer, they can or might have changed their mind if they had be healed. A horse breaking its leg can't walk with stayed alive will die prematurely. 3 and there is nothing that can be done. Today, men walk with false legs, some are born with no arms, or less, do you put them out of this life? Everyone has a purpose on this earth. And there are miracles. It is wrong to play 'God'. Better end of life Ouotations discussing the impacts that assisted Yes—I've watched family spend months I think an important point that is rarely considered dying could have at the end of life that are and even years dying in pain. That is not is that having the choice available would be additional to the direct relief of suffering when empowering for the terminally ill person. They how I would want anyone to remember carrying out AD, for example, improving the their loved ones. might decide not to use it—but I imagine you quality of end of life discussions, affecting how would be better able to cope with pain etc if you family members experience their loved one's knew that if it truly became unbearable you could dying process and giving comfort by merely escape. And that you were in charge. Currently having the option of AD (even if not used). someone else is in charge of doling out the painkillers—the patient has no choice except to refuse to take them. Social consequences Societal values Quotations discussing the concern that assisted Another aspect that bothers me is the It's more the message it says to society as a whole dying will fundamentally change our society and cultural impact of assisted suicide. While I which says when it's hard check out. how we see death. agree that the intentions of everyone in this thread are good, I worry that euthanasia could somehow weaken society's respect for the 'sanctity of life'. I'd be worried about the influence this could have on severely depressed individuals who feel that life is pointless and they have nothing to live for. **Practical implications** In a country with a mental health system as If I ever get sick I need to know my death won't Quotations discussing possible practical implications of assisted dying, including for horrifically underfunded as ours, euthanasia be drawn out and cripple my family financially—if health resources, aged care, overpopulation, would simply become a tread mill by I'm going to die slowly and painfully, it's better to international relations and tourism. faceless Wellington bureaucrats for cost just go. Better for everyone—for my family, for the cutting purposes on the most vulnerable. health system trying to keep me alive pointlessly when others need care more than I do. Public health considerations Quotations discussing public health What is it about 'Assisted Suicide' makes The far left have valid scepticism about whether considerations including harm prevention, some people think it makes it easier to the ability of wealthier people to access better suicide contagions, inequity of access to suicide? healthcare could result in a disproportionate It forces the subject to talk to other people, healthcare and aged care which may mean number of poorer people electing to end their lower SES people feel more pressure to choose to make their wish clear to others, to bring AD, the potential for inequity of access to AD any intention into the open. As far as and whether there is an acceptable level of harm teenagers are concerned I should think that caused by AD as a trade-off for the benefits to would make it harder to quietly top oneself patients. if assistance attracts their attention. AD, assisted dying; SES, socioeconomic status.

well as use of commonly used metaphors such as 'the slippery slope', 'thin end of the wedge' and the use of the term 'murder' as a synonym for assisted dying.

Theme 2: what should the law be, and how should we decide? Table 2 presents both textual and contextual elements specific to the Seymour Bill and the decision-making processes through which it will pass. This theme examines the motives assigned by contributors to the Bill, and consideration on what a law decriminalising assisted dying should address, with consideration given to eligibility criteria, and safeguards. The first subtheme address

what the law is for. This covers two categories. The first is that the Bill would merely formalise a covert clinical practice of easing a dying person's passage through morphine overdose. The rule of double effect has been used to justify this in medical ethics: in brief, where the intention is to relieve pain and not to cause death, then no criminal act has occurred, despite the patient's death from overdose, because the death is unintended. The second category is that the Bill is driven by hidden motives of which the public are largely unaware, such as the need to reduce the costs of ongoing palliative care of patients with terminal illness by offering euthanasia. This category also links to theme

1 in many contributors' suspicions that a covert motive for introducing the Bill is population management through eugenics and a means of removing dissidents and problematic citizens. The second subtheme revolves around contributors' concerns for rigorous eligibility criteria for assisted dying (primarily cognitive capacity for decision-making), the mechanism by which dying is assisted (doctor administered, or patient administered) and appropriate setting. The third subtheme was that of the process by which any decision to change the current (il)legal status of assisted dying should occur. Should the principle of democratic majoritarianism be upheld, and if so, should this be via opinion polls, petitions or referenda? Included in this category were references to the then upcoming government election and the degree to which the assisted dying debate might be manipulated by constituents seeking election.

Theme 3: the consequences of decriminalising assisted dying

Citizens' concerns about the potential consequences of legalising assisted dying are presented in table 3. This theme reflects the contextual components of our discourse analysis. There were two primary subthemes: individual and broader social consequences. Individual consequences included categories of involuntary death, premature death and the quality of death. Contributors discussed the likelihood that legalising assisted dying could lead to individuals being coerced into requesting assisted dying, or being euthanised against their will. Another potential consequence concerned mistaken terminal diagnosis which could lead to an individual choosing assisted dying unnecessarily. The third category in this subtheme was that potential for improved dying experiences for a dying individual and their family because assisted dying would (1) allow the terminally ill person to exercise control over the manner of their exit from life, and (2) reduce the occurrence of 'bad deaths' where a terminally ill individual dies in severe pain. The second subtheme, broader social consequences, concerned the ways in which assisted dying might threaten the relationships between individuals and their healthcare professionals, and between individuals and their society by weakening social bonds and legitimating suicide for seriously depressed individuals. A second category was practical implications with contributors musing over the health resource implications for assisted dying, whether assisted dying might become the solution for the escalating costs of aged care and overpopulation and whether New Zealand might become an international destination for euthanasia tourism. Public health considerations comprised the third category in this subheading; including how harm prevention and suicide contagion would be managed, as well as how inequity of access to healthcare and aged care might impact on who would have access to assisted dying and what the potential harm/benefit analysis might be for patients.

DISCUSSION

Our findings illustrate that discussion about euthanasia and assisted dying by New Zealand contributors to social media encompasses a complex range of positions, far more than 'for' and 'against'. The validity and urgency of the debate among other contemporary social issues, the terminology used and the legitimacy of authority to speak on the issue were clearly contested. Similarly, the influence of media and dominant societal institutions, as well as the influence of dominant moral perspectives through the use of persuasive rhetorical devices, was discussed. A suspicion about the legitimation of existing covert medical practices and also ulterior motives on the part of the State were

apparent in many posts. The moral authority of religious, legal and medical standpoints was contested, and discernible among many contributions was a challenge to the privileging of traditional voices of authority over those of citizens. Contributors also discussed the issue of parity in decision-making regarding the Seymour Bill within the parliamentary processes, and the potential consequences of legalised assisted dying—planned (intended and covert) and unforeseen. This complexity and variability is also evident in previous New Zealand research.²⁷ ²⁸

What does our study add?

Formal submissions to parliamentary committees frequently represent dominant societal and perhaps conservative perspectives on assisted dying because they represent those who have the necessary social and cultural capital to compose and submit a formal document to the relevant Select Committee. This might explain the overwhelming opposition to assisted dying reported by the Parliamentary Health Committee. 1 At the other end of the spectrum, opinion polls offer a snapshot of public opinion and these indicate strong public support for the legalisation of assisted dying.⁸ ⁴³ Opinion polls have been considered problematic as reliable sources of data because they are limited to those who have landlines which represents a decreasing proportion of the population in New Zealand as many people rely on mobile cellphones. 44 45 Opinion polls conducted by telephone also exclude people who cannot afford a landline thus excluding the perspectives of the most socioeconomically deprived citizens. In opinion polls on assisted dying there are also issues of framing effects in the questions asked, and a lack of clarity over the various terms, euthanasia, assisted dying, hastened death and medical aid in dying.46 47

The barriers to participating in public debate on social media are fewer than for formal consultation processes. Social media can be contributed to by anyone with a cellphone although the same caveats apply to the participation of individuals who do not have a cellphone or the social and cultural capital to participate in social media. While social media offers a forum for many individuals' unfiltered voices to be heard that otherwise would not be, it is difficult to ascertain the social demographics of contributors from their posts to social media. While any individual with internet connectivity can contribute to social media, we postulate that contributors are likely to represent New Zealanders who are social media literate—and may therefore reflect perspectives of younger, educated, middle classes. This may or may not be the case in other countries. While ordinary people might be more visible in social media, it has been noted that social media debates often follow frameworks laid out by traditional sources of information and dominant discourses because trending topics are often based on mainstream media's breaking news. 48 Our own methodology took advantage of this pattern. Motivations for using social media also vary—while some use its connectivity to contribute to public debates on social and political issues, others use it for entertainment, or social relationships.²⁹ Zimmer notes that social media participants cannot be representative of a larger population and may not reflect the complexity of information contributors post on social networking sites.³⁵ Another consideration is that those who are motivated to contribute to social media debates on high-profile topics may do so because they have a strong investment in the subject under discussion, and conversely that those who are neutral on the subject are not motivated to contribute—although this is likely to also be the case for research involving voluntary participation. Those motivated to contribute to social media need not post a comment,

but can comment in what Bouvier describes as a passive way by 'liking' or using an emoji option.²⁹

Given that the legality of assisted dying is dependent on a vote in Parliament, it is perhaps little wonder that media representation of this polarising issue has focused on the binary of 'for' and 'against' positions. Our findings reveal the frequently complex textual and contextual elements underpinning the positions of public contributors to selected social media. This adds another layer of understanding to what it is that ordinary New Zealand citizens see at stake in legalising assisted dying. While individuals who oppose the proposed law change can legitimate their position using well-established moral discourses from the dominant social institutions of Christianity, law and medicine, as well as catastrophic tropes appealing to history (such as Nazism and eugenics), and dystopian consequences (such as elimination of citizens who are a drain on health resources), those who support the proposed law change frequently legitimate their position against their own observations of the 'bad' deaths of loved ones and their own personal experiences. They appeal to humanitarian principles of compassion, alleviating or not prolonging suffering, or extending life in futile cases, using comparative tropes such as the compassionate euthanasia of animals.

Many contributors expressed frustration with the politics of representation—revealing viewpoints on the fiduciary relationship between citizens and the State. For those opposed, appeals were made to trust the traditional moral authority of the State and its governance apparatus as wards of its citizenry to act in its citizen's best interests. For those in support, appeals were made to democratic and utilitarian principles underpinning the State's responsibilities and responsiveness to its citizens, and that of politicians to their constituents. At issue here were what and whose values should be taken into account and/or privileged among multiple and contested traditional (Christianity, Hippocratic), modernist (progressive, cynical, antiauthoritarian) and neoliberal (individualist, responsibilist) discourses on authority, power and autonomy. This has relevance to all western and neoliberal jurisdictions. The former perspective carried the underlying assumption that citizens are not best positioned to make life and death decisions concerning their own lives, while the latter perspective suggests that it is appropriate for citizens to make life and death decisions concerning their own lives. Both positions ask, to whom does a citizen's life belong? The State, God, the medical fraternity, or the citizen?

Bouvier suggests that the internet and social media illustrate a diminishing of formal authoritative information and knowledge from powerful disciplinary blocks, and increasing scepticism in the form of challenges to dominant discourses and hegemonies.²⁹ While social media illustrates the interaction of a wide range of citizens over trending topics, it is unclear to what degree of dialogic engagement is really occurring in these threads. Are contributors truly open to others' perspectives? Exercising agency through clicktivism? Or are they primarily engaging in the production of self-identity through their posts as Murthy suggests?⁴⁸ Similarly, to what degree can social media influence political and parliamentary decision-making? Do contributors have any expectation of wider influence?

Limitations and strengths

Due to limitations of the search functions on Facebook and Twitter, it is likely that we missed some data within the date range. Also our conservative inclusion criteria regarding location likely resulted in an underaccounting of social media posts. Our analysis was limited by the researchers' language skills to

English language texts, and cannot be taken to reflect the debate on assisted dying within Māori, Pacific and migrant communities. This research was an opportunistic study of public opinion on Seymour's End of Life Choice Bill following its introduction to the New Zealand House of Representatives in June 2017. The presentation to the House of submissions to the Parliamentary Select Committee has been rescheduled from later in 2018 to early in 2019. It is possible that during this extension, public opinion as evident in social media might shift.

Although we cannot make claims as to the numbers of contributors (few or many), a key strength of this study is the volume and range of the corpus we collected, representative of discussions occurring in the public domain independent of the formal parliamentary submission process for the Seymour End of Life Choice Bill.

CONCLUSION

Social media postings represent the voices of ordinary citizens. The volume of social media posts that made up our data set confirms that the legalisation of assisted dying is a highly topical and deeply salient societal issue. Based on our analysis, the assignment of binary conclusions about public opinion is simplistic and fails to adequately represent the intricacies of public debate. Contributors' posts reveal deeply held sociocultural values, as well as tensions and ambivalence about the relationship between citizens and the apparatus of government.

Contributors CJ and JY contributed to the research concept and design, data analysis, interpretation and preparation of the manuscript. ILS contributed to the research design, data collection, analysis, interpretation and preparation of the manuscript. RE contributed to the research design, data analysis, interpretation and preparation of the manuscript.

Funding This study was funded by the Health Research South, University of Otago (Super Summer Studentship Award) and the Health Research Council of New Zealand (Ethics Summer Scholarship).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement We are unable to share data at this time because we are continuing to analyse it for publications.

ORCID iDs

Chrystal Jaye http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7071-7774
Jessica Young http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8173-0552

REFERENCES

- 1 Health Committee. Petition 2014/18 of Hon Maryan Street and 8,974 Others: Report of the Health Committee (2017)https://www.parliament.nz/resource/en-NZ/SCR_74759/4d68a2f2e98ef91d75c1a179fe6dd1ec1b66cd24 (accessed 5 Nov 2017).
- 2 Emanuel EJ, Onwuteaka-Philipsen BD, Urwin JW, et al. Attitudes and practices of euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide in the United States, Canada, and Europe. JAMA 2016:316:79–90.
- 3 Nys H. A Discussion of the Legal Rules on Euthanasia in Belgium Briefly Compared with the Rules in Luxembourg and the Netherlands. In: Jones D, Gastmans C, MacKella C, eds. Euthanasia and Assisted Suicide: Lessons from Belgium. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017:7–25.
- 4 Seymour D. End of Life Choice Bill. 2017http://www.legislation.govt.nz/bill/member/ 2017/0269/latest/DLM7285905.html (accessed 30 July 2018).
- 5 Cook H. Euthanasia bill timetable extended as record 35,000 submissions received. 2018. Stuff. https://i.stuff.co.nz/national/politics/104063025/euthanasia-bill-timetable-extended-as-record-35000-submissions-received (accessed 9 Oct 2018).
- 6 Care Alliance. Analysis of written submissions to health select committee's investigation into ending one's life. 2017 http://carealliance.org.nz/wp-content/ uploads/2017/05/HSC-submissions-analysis.pdf (accessed 6 Jun 2017).
- 7 Alliance C. Health select committee: 77% of submissions oppose euthanasia [Press release]. 2017 http://carealliance.org.nz/health-select-committee-77-of-submissions-oppose-euthanasia/ (accessed 30 Jul 2018).
- 8 Research H. New zealanders' views on end of life choices. 2017 https://www. horizonpoll.co.nz/attachments/docs/horizon-research-end-of-life-choices-survey-r.docx (accessed 17 Jul 2017).

- 9 Lee CH, Duck IM, Sibley CG. Demographic and psychological correlates of New Zealanders support for euthanasia. N Z Med J 2017;130:9–17.
- 10 Rae N, Johnson MH, Malpas PJ. New Zealanders' attitudes toward physician-assisted dying. J Palliat Med 2015;18:259–65.
- 11 Young J, Egan R, Walker S, et al. The euthanasia debate: synthesising the evidence on New Zealander's attitudes. Kötuitui: New Zealand Journal of Social Sciences Online 2018;128:1–21. Online Advanced online publication. 10.1080/1177083X.2018.1532915.
- 12 Rietjens JA, Raijmakers NJ, Kouwenhoven PS, et al. News media coverage of euthanasia: a content analysis of Dutch national newspapers. BMC Med Ethics 2013:14:1–7.
- 13 Materstvedt LJ, Clark D, Ellershaw J, et al. Euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide: a view from an EAPC Ethics Task Force. Palliat Med 2003;17:97–101.
- 14 Goldney RD. Neither euthanasia nor suicide, but rather assisted death. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2012;46:185–7.
- 15 Hendry M, Pasterfield D, Lewis R, et al. Why do we want the right to die? A systematic review of the international literature on the views of patients, carers and the public on assisted dying. Palliat Med 2013;27:13–26.
- 16 Feigin S, Owens RG, Goodyear-Smith F. Helping a loved one die: the act of assisted dying in New Zealand. Mortality 2017;17:1–16.
- 17 Martin L. To Die Like a Dog: A Mother, a Daughter, a Promise Kept. Wanganui: M-Press, 2002.
- 18 Seales v Attorney-General. Judgement of Collins J. 1239 New Zealand High Court: Wellington Registry, 2015:1–55.
- 19 Australian and New Zealand Society of Palliative Medicine. Position statement on the practice of euthanasia and physican assisted suicide [Press release]. 2017 http://www.anzspm.org.au/c/anzspm?a=da&did=1005077 (accessed 25 Jul 2011)
- 20 New Zealand Medical Association. End of Life Choice Bill [Press release]. 2018. https://www.nzma.org.nz/__data/assets/pdf_file/0009/79695/NZMA-Submission-on-End-of-Life-Choice-Bill-FINAL.PDF (accessed 30 Jul 2018).
- 21 New Zealand Nurses Organisation. End of life choice bill submission to the justice committee [Press release]. 2018. https://www.nzno.org.nz/Portals/0/Files/Documents/Activities/Submissions/2018-03%20End%20of%20Life%20Choice%20Bill_NZNO.pdf (accessed 30 Jul 2018).
- 22 Royal New Zealand College of General Practitioners. End of Life Choice Bill [Press release]. 2018. https://oldgp16.rnzcgp.org.nz/assets/2018-03-06-RNZCGP-submission-to-Justice-Committee-End-of-Life-Choice-Bill.pdf (accessed 30 Jul 2018).
- 23 Oliver P, Wilson M, Malpas P, et al. New Zealand doctors' and nurses' views on legalising assisted dying in New Zealand. N Z Med J 2017;130:10–26.
- 24 Malpas PJ, Wilson MK, Rae N, et al. Why do older people oppose physician-assisted dying? A qualitative study. Palliat Med 2014;28:353–9.
- 25 Malpas PJ, Mitchell K, Johnson MH. "I wouldn't want to become a nuisance under any circumstances"--a qualitative study of the reasons some healthy older individuals support medical practices that hasten death. N Z Med J 2012;125:9–19.
- 26 Malpas PJ, Anderson A, Jacobs P, et al. 'It's not all just about the dying'. Kaumātua Māori attitudes towards physician aid-in dying: A narrative enquiry. Palliat Med 2017;31:544–52.
- 27 Lewis T. Euthanasia: A Foucauldian Analysis: Masters diss., Auckland University of Technology, 2005.

- 28 Winnington R. Patient choice as illusion: Autonomy and choice in end-of-life care in the United Kingdom and New Zealand: PhD diss., University of Auckland, 2016.
- 29 Bouvier G. What is a discourse approach to Twitter, Facebook, YouTube and other social media: connecting with other academic fields?. *Journal of Multicultural Discourses* 2015:10:149–62.
- 30 Snowden C. I'm right, You're Wrong, and Here's a Link to Prove it: How Social Media Shapes Public Debate. NZ Herald, 2016. https://www.nzherald.co.nz/technology/news/article.cfm?c_id=5&objectid=11747361 (accessed 9 Oct 2018).
- 31 Kozinets R. *Netnography Redefined*. 2nd ed. Los Angeles: Sage, 2015.
- 32 Shirky C. The political power of social media: Technology, the public sphere, and political change. Foreign Affairs 2011;90:28–41.
- 33 Lupton D. Discourse analysis: a new methodology for understanding the ideologies of health and illness. Aust J Public Health 1992;16:145–50.
- 84 Foucault M. Truth and Power: In. Rabinow P, ed. The Foucault Reader. London: Penguin, 1984:51–75.
- 35 Zimmer M. "But the data is already public": on the ethics of research in Facebook. Ethics Inf Technol 2010;12:313–25.
- 36 Berry DM. Internet research: privacy, ethics and alienation: an open source approach. Internet Research 2004;14:323–32.
- 37 Markham A, Buchanan E. Ethical Decision-Making and Internet Research: Recommendations from the AoIR Ethics Working Committee (Version 2.0), 2012. http://www.aoir.org/reports/ethics2/pdf. (accessed 24 Jul 2018).
- 38 von Benzon N. Informed consent and secondary data: Reflections on the use of mothers' blogs in social media research. Area 2018;2:1–9.
- 39 Moreno MA, Goniu N, Moreno PS, et al. Ethics of social media research: common concerns and practical considerations. Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw 2013;16:708–13.
- 40 Bruckman A. Studying the amateur artist: A perspective on disguising data collected in human subjects research on the internet. *Ethics Inf Technol* 2002;4:217–31.
- 41 Pedersen S, Lupton D. 'What are you feeling right now?' communities of maternal feeling on Mumsnet. *Emot Space Soc* 2018;26:57–63.
- 42 Thomas DR. A General Inductive Approach for Analyzing Qualitative Evaluation Data. Am J Eval 2006;27:237–46.
- 43 Hurley E, Burr L. Newshub poll: Most new zealanders support euthanasia. Newshub. 2018. https://www.newshub.co.nz/home/politics/2018/02/newshub-poll-most-new-zealanders-support-euthanasia.html (accessed 25 Jun 2018).
- 44 Arnold R. Polls 101: A Statistician on Truth and Fiction in Opinion Polling. The Spinoff 2018 https://thespinoff.co.nz/science/15-09-2017/polls-101-a-statistician-on-thetruth-and-fiction-in-opinion-polling/ (accessed 30 Jul 2018).
- 45 Keall C. Final Polls Vs the 2014 Election Actual Result. national business review. 2017 https://www.nbr.co.nz/article/final-polls-vs-2014-election-actual-result-ck-p-207563 (accessed 30 Jul 2018).
- 46 Marcoux I, Mishara BL, Durand C. Confusion between euthanasia and other end-of-life decisions: influences on public opinion poll results. *Can J Public Health* 2007:98:235–9.
- 47 Parkinson L, Rainbird K, Kerridge I, et al. Cancer patients' attitudes towards euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide: The influence of question wording and patients' own definitions on responses. J Bioeth Inq 2005;2:82–9.
- 48 Murthy D. Towards a sociological understanding of social media: Theorizing twitter. Sociology 2012;46:1059–73.